



Addendum #2 Design-Build Services for the Battlefords Regional Twin Pad Arena & Events Centre

RFQ # CNB-PR-2026-06-17

CITY OF NORTH BATTLEFORD

ADDENDUM #2

RFQ Title	Design-Build Services for the Battlefords Regional Twin Pad Arena & Events Centre
RFQ #	CNB-PR-2026-06-17
Addendum	Addendum #2
Date Issued	July 3, 2026
Owner	The Corporation of the City of North Battleford

This Addendum forms part of RFQ CNB-PR-2026-06-17, Design-Build Services for the Battlefords Regional Twin Pad Arena & Events Centre. Proponents shall review this Addendum in full and acknowledge receipt of Addendum #2 in their Statement of Qualifications submission.

Except as expressly amended by this Addendum, all terms, conditions, requirements, forms, appendices, and instructions in the RFQ remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

1.0 Question and Answer

Question 4: Page 2, paragraph 3 of the RFQ reads: “Submissions are to be submitted through the City's designated online bid platform at <https://www.cityofnb.ca/our-city-government/tenders-and-bids/>. When navigating to this site, and clicking through tenders and bids, there is no clear direction as to where or how to submit our response.”

There are a couple of other areas within the RFQ that state “...through the City's designated online bid platform at [insert City bid platform website].”

Answer 4: As identified in the RFQ Summary of Key Information, submissions are to be submitted through the City’s designated procurement posting process, including the City of North Battleford Tenders and Bids page and/or SaskTenders.

For clarity, all references to [insert City bid platform website] are replaced with:

<https://www.cityofnb.ca/our-city-government/tenders-and-bids/>
<https://www.sasktenders.ca/>

Submissions shall not be submitted directly to Nustadia Recreation Inc. unless expressly authorized by addendum. Proponents should submit their proposals directly to tenders@cityofnb.ca prior to the submission deadline.

Question 5: Section 4.10, paragraph 2 states: “The City MAY require additional financial information at the RFP stage, including audited financial statements, banking references, surety confirmation.”

Section 5.2.3 states: “The lead Design-Builder SHALL provide audited financial statements for the previous three fiscal years...”

Please confirm if these need to be required at this stage.

Answer 5: Audited financial statements are not required at the RFQ stage.

Proponents shall provide financial capacity, bonding, and insurance information as required by Section 4.10, Section 5.2.3, and Appendix D of the RFQ, as amended by this Addendum. The City reserves the right to request additional financial information from shortlisted Proponents at the RFP stage or contract negotiation stage.

Question 6: The RFQ refers to this project being delivered as a Design Build (CCDC 14); however, the document reads as though a Progressive Design Build (CCDC 32) or another form of Modified Design Build process will be better suited for this project. Please confirm the intended project delivery model and contract form. Please provide a draft copy of the intended agreement.

Answer 6: Refer to Section 1.8 of the RFQ. The final contract form has not yet been confirmed and may be refined before the RFP stage.

The City anticipates using a Design-Build form of contract appropriate for the selected delivery model and may use, adapt, or supplement standard Canadian Construction Documents Committee forms, including CCDC 14 or another form acceptable to the City. Additional contract details will be provided at the RFP stage.

Question 7: If this project will be delivered as a traditional Design Build (CCDC 14), the level of effort and cost to produce a proposed design during the RFP stages is significant. Please confirm that an honorarium will be issued to the unsuccessful bidders at the RFP stage and what value it is anticipated to be.

Answer 7: The City has not yet confirmed whether an honorarium will be provided at the RFP stage. As noted in the RFQ, no design or price submission is required at the RFQ stage. Any honorarium, if applicable, will be addressed in the RFP issued to shortlisted Proponents.

Question 8: Will teams receive equal consideration for relevant project experience, even if those projects were not delivered under a formal DB contract?

Answer 8: Relevant project experience will be evaluated in accordance with Sections 4.5, 5.4, and 5.5 of the RFQ.

Projects that were not delivered under a formal Design-Build contract may be considered where they are relevant to the scale, complexity, public-use nature, and technical requirements of this project. Design-Build or other collaborative delivery experience may be considered more directly relevant where it demonstrates team integration, cost certainty, schedule control, and successful delivery outcomes.

Question 9: Can the Owner provide clarification regarding the funding strategy and budget approvals supporting the project, including whether all anticipated funding sources have been secured for the full project scope?

Answer 9: Refer to Sections 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 5.9, and 6.1 of the RFQ.

The project is supported by feasibility, preliminary design, Class C cost estimating, regional discussions, and procurement planning.

Federal government infrastructure funding programs are still accepting applications and funding approval will not be confirmed until such time as the application window has closed and government has reviewed all applications in full. As noted on Page 8 of the RFQ, the project has completed feasibility and fundraising readiness. The Owner is pursuing project funding from multiple sources, including a local contribution supported by a significant fundraising component, the Government of Saskatchewan, and the Government of Canada. Once funding from the other levels of government is secured, successful RFQ candidates will be invited to participate in a subsequent RFP for the project.

Question 10: The RFQ appears to contemplate a Design-Build delivery model; however, the proposed eight-week RFP period is significantly shorter than is typically required to develop a Design-Build submission. Please confirm the expected proposal deliverables and level of design development required during the RFP stage.

Answer 10: Refer to Sections 3.6 and 6.1 of the RFQ.

No design submission, pricing, or final fee proposal is required at the RFQ stage. The RFP issued to shortlisted Proponents will confirm the delivery model, submission requirements, level of design development, pricing requirements, evaluation process, and proposal period. The City may adjust the RFP period as required.

Question 11: Given that the responses to the above questions will materially influence the level of effort, design development, and proposal strategy required, an additional two weeks will be required following receipt of the Owner's responses to allow proponents adequate time to prepare comprehensive and competitive submissions.

Answer 11: As confirmed in Addendum #1, the RFQ submission deadline has been extended to July 22, 2026 at 2:00 p.m., Saskatchewan Time.

No further extension is provided at this time. Proponents are reminded that the RFQ stage does not require design submissions, pricing, or a detailed technical proposal.

2.0 RFQ Document Amendments

The RFQ is amended as follows:

- Submission Platform References
 - All references to [insert City bid platform website] are replaced with:
 - <https://www.cityofnb.ca/our-city-government/tenders-and-bids/>
 - <https://www.sasktenders.ca/>
- Section 5.2.3 — Financial Capacity, Bonding, and Insurance Requirements
 - The requirement for the lead Design-Builder to provide audited financial statements for the previous three fiscal years at the RFQ stage is deleted.
 - Proponents must still provide sufficient confirmation of financial capacity, bonding capacity, and insurance capacity appropriate for a project of this scale.
 - Disclosure of any insolvency proceedings, creditor protection proceedings, or material financial issues during the previous five years remains required.
- Appendix D — Insurance, Bonding, WCB, Safety, and Compliance
 - Appendix D remains applicable, including the requirement to provide insurance and bonding capacity information.
 - The City may request updated surety, insurance, and financial capacity information at the RFP stage.

3.0 Acknowledgement

Proponents shall acknowledge receipt of Addendum #2 in Appendix B - Statement of Qualifications Submission Form and include the completed acknowledgement with their submission.